

CITY COUNCIL MEETING
June 29, 2021 7:00 p.m.
Community Recreation Center
10640 N Clubhouse Drive, Cedar Hills, Utah

Present: Denise Andersen, Mayor, Presiding
 Councilmembers: Laura Ellison, Ben Ellsworth, Mike Geddes, Brian Miller
 Absent/Excused: Kelly Smith
 Chandler Goodwin, City Manager
 Greg Gordon, Recreation Director
 Charl Louw, Finance Director
 Jeff Maag, Building Official
 Hyrum Bosserman, City Attorney
 Colleen Mulvey, City Recorder
 Others: Lt. Cameron Paul

1. Call to Order

This meeting of the City Council of the City of Cedar Hills, having been properly noticed, was called to order at 7:03 p.m. by Mayor Andersen. The Pledge of Allegiance was led by C. Miller and the invocation was given by C. Ellison.

2. Approval of Meeting's Agenda.

MOTION: C. Ellison—To approve the agenda. Seconded by C. Geddes.

Yes	-	C. Ellison	
		C. Ellsworth	
		C. Geddes	
		C. Miller	Motion passes.

3. Public Comment: Time has been set aside for the public to express their ideas, concerns, and comments. Comments are limited to three minutes per person with a total of 30 minutes for this item.

Kenneth Bednar, 4564 Caddie Lane, Highland, said he lived on the second hole of the golf course and that he loved the golf course. He wasn't there to complain but he was aware of the ridiculous complaint that had been made by a homeowner about the driving range. He was an attorney with a 35-year legal career and thought there were several important points that needed to be emphasized regarding the golf course. The complaining homeowner had knowingly built next to an active golf course and the City had immunity from any lawsuits from homeowners surrounding the golf course; however, if the City takes any action to address the complaints, it will waive this immunity and set a bad legal precedence allowing for other homeowners to act. He stated that many other houses along the golf course had taken action to protect their homes from errant golf balls including fences and trees and had never complained. He wanted to advise the City and let them know that other homeowners had never asked for help and the laws protecting the City from lawsuits had existed for a long time. He said if this went to court it

would be dismissed and the City was spending millions of dollars to redesign a perfectly functional golf course. He had written a 4-page letter and wanted it attached to the minutes from this meeting and asked the City to consider the consequences of this issue.

Morian Eberhard, 4594 Caddie Lane, Highland, stated that he lived on the golf course, and he bought his house knowing the golf course was there and because it was there, he knew the risks. He appreciated the value and the beauty that the golf course provided.

Tom Harris, 9640 Charleston Drive, said he was there about the pickleball noise at Harvey Park. Every morning he could hear noise through his closed windows. He suggested things could be done like quieter paddles and if they could enforce that it would be okay. He said the acoustic fence that was installed was meant to be used as a unit that surrounded the entire court and he hoped that the City would finish installing the acoustic fence.

Cesar Falcon, 9624 Charleston Drive, seconded what was said by Mr. Harris. He had moved to Cedar Hills from New York City for the greenery. He thought the City could do a better job of controlling the noise. He was thankful for the signs on each court but asked that the fence be finished. He commented that the lights on the court were sometimes left on.

Britney Lindsey stated she was running for City Council and commented that she loved the City. She said she had signs posted for a cheer camp a month before and had received an email that she was not allowed to put her signs up because of a City ordinance but had seen someone else's sign for a business at Walmart which had not been picked up.

Charles Loveday, 4306 W. Carbon Blvd., said he had been helping Ms. Lindsey with her campaign for City Council and they wanted to gain information, but they were unsure of how to do that. He asked what someone would do, aside from coming to the council, to get public records. Mayor Andersen replied that it was posted on the City website. Mr. Goodwin stated he would be happy to have this conversation with him outside of the meeting if he gave his contact information. Mr. Loveday commented that he supported Mr. Harris and Mr. Falcon and it would be nice to have the acoustic fence completed.

C. Geddes commented that he lived one street away and he could hear pickleball pops at 6:00 in the morning and they were going to try and address the issue.

CONSENT AGENDA

4. Approval of the minutes from the May 2, 2021 & May 18, 2021, Work Sessions and City Council meetings
5. Resolution No. 06-29-2021A, appointments to the Fiber Optic Infrastructure Review Committee and to the Youth City Council Advisory Committee

MOTION: C. Ellsworth—To approve the consent agenda. Seconded by C. Miller.

Yes - C. Ellison
C. Ellsworth
C. Geddes

CITY REPORTS AND BUSINESS**6. City Manager**

Chandler Goodwin reported there were a number of new summer camps they had tried that year that had brought in additional revenue. The new recreation coordinator was doing a great job of bringing in new programs. Events were picking up at the Vista Room and golf had continued to have a great year. There were some registration programs for flag football, soccer, tot soccer, teen flag football, science classes and Lego camp for the fall. He wanted to remind the City of firework restrictions. There were new fire restrictions; everything on the east side of Canyon Road was prohibited and there were additional restrictions in other areas of the City. He wanted to follow up on items regarding the pickleball complaints and said the lights had been fixed and there had been temporary signs hung to indicate times that players were allowed to play and permanent signs had been ordered. He said the reason the acoustic fence had not been installed all the way around was because they did not want to create an attractive nuisance for teenagers. For public safety purposes, police officers needed to see into the park, but they would explore some other options and continue dialoguing with residents along the courts to determine a solution.

7. Mayor and Council

C. Miller commented that it was fantastic to have a normal Family Festival.

Mayor Andersen thanked the fire department for the trash bash at Harvey Park. The two most common pieces of trash were water bottle caps and socks. They were going to continue to have these events to help keep the parks beautiful. She reported she had met with Rep. John Curtis, and he had commented that if there was no zoning in a City then he would not want to live in that City. She loved that statement because the reason their quality of life was better was because they had rules attached.

C. Ellison reported that she had attended the American Fork Community Hospital outreach meeting.

C. Geddes commented that the Family Festival was fantastic.

8. Review/Action and Public Hearing on a Resolution adopting Fiscal Year 2021 Budget Amendments

Charl Louw stated in the previous year they had budgeted conservatively because of the pandemic and as things had opened back up, they had made adjustments. There had been a lot of growth and they had 30-40 new homes. Impact fees collected were used to pay for things like Harvey Park. He reviewed the General Fund. They had budgeted low for the Family Festival, but donations had come through higher. The recreation programs had matched closely to what they were two years ago. Weddings and event rentals were still down but it was better than last year. They were making a \$700K transfer and he stated that they tried to maintain 17% in the fund balance for emergencies. They had debt with the golf course because they paid \$350K

every year and charged the residents about \$40K less so they chipped in some money every year to keep resident's property taxes low. They budgeted conservatively for golf the year before but had adjusted the numbers for the current year. Season pass sales had gone up as well. They had adjustments for a retention basin, maintenance, and merchandise in the pro shop. There was a transfer into the Class C Roads Fund from the General Fund. With the Cedar Canyon development, they had more impact fees than usual, so they adjusted for that in the Capital Projects Fund. They were projecting over \$120K in parks development fees. Mr. Louw commented on the water and sewer fund and stated that residents would have to pay for the investment into sewer systems and because of all the new homes they had to adjust for that.

PUBLIC HEARING

Mr. Harris stated he thought it was fantastic that they were trying to keep property taxes low.

MOTION: C. Geddes—To approve Resolution No. 06-29-2021B, a resolution adopting the amended 2020-2021 Fiscal Year Budget for the City of Cedar Hills, Utah. Seconded by C. Ellison.

Yes	-	C. Ellison	
		C. Ellsworth	
		C. Geddes	
		C. Miller	Motion passes.

9. Review/Action and Public Hearing on a Resolution adopting the Fiscal Year 2022 Budget

Mr. Louw reviewed the budget, he talked about the emphasized budget priorities. They wanted to retain qualified, well-trained staff and improve the City's operating functions. Road improvements, water improvements, and planning improvements were priorities. The final phasing of Harvey Park and renovations to Heritage Park and Doral Park were priorities as well as adding more youth programs and golf renovations.

Mr. Louw discussed economic trends and went over unemployment statistics. He discussed sales tax and inflation. Inflation was the highest it had been since 2008. He talked about home price appreciation and general revenues trends. Franchise tax and PARC taxes were up, and motor vehicle and telecom taxes were down. He showed a figure that compared the general operations property tax amount of different cities in the area. Sales tax revenues were unusually high, and he thought this might be a one-time thing. He discussed program revenues. Green fees, pro shop and recreation programs revenues were up, and event center rentals were down. He talked about the census and said they were at about the same as they were 9 years ago.

Mr. Louw discussed the general fund budget. Overall, the fund revenue was lower by \$682K due to the Cedar Canyon homes, the stimulus from the federal government to residents, and the federal CARES grant. Fund expenditures and transfers were approximately \$1M lower due to restoration of part-time services impacted by COVID. They had a historically low subsidy to the golf fund of \$100K. There was an increase in the public safety contract with the American Fork police & fire of \$76K, including a placeholder of \$62K for facility changes.

Mr. Louw discussed the street fund and the projects that were projected with the five-year plan for road maintenance. He discussed golf and the golf debt service fund highlights and the capital projects fund highlights. He showed an image of the new concept for the Doral Park renewal landscape structures and an image of the potential new design for Heritage Park. He discussed the qualifications of golf architect John Fought.

Mr. Goodwin talked about where they were going with the golf course design. When the course was first designed, they put in a 35 ft. fence but when homes started coming in, they raised it to 50 ft. When the wind knocked these poles over, they had decided to move to steel poles and kept them at 50 ft. There were still problems with the number of balls going over the fence, so staff performed a trajectory study which determined that the fence was inadequate. A design professional was consulted who said the driving range was too narrow, and the nets were too small. He discussed an image of a potential design which would make the course more enjoyable and address the problem of the driving range. They had initially just looked at the driving range, but other problems had been identified on the course, so they looked at redesigning the whole course. They had a request for more family options on the course and a course wide redesign would address that. The plan was to expand the putting area and flatten it out, adding a short drive net for 10-minute interval warmups, and adjusting the tees. A course wide redesign would look at what upgrades needed to be made to keep people coming back to the course. There was discussion about the image of the redesign.

C. Geddes commented this was the first time he had seen this, and he liked the bigger practice area. Mr. Goodwin stated the driving range was approximately \$20-\$40K in revenue each year. They felt like the proposed design would generate a lot more revenue than that. He went over financial figures of other golf courses in the area.

Mayor Andersen asked if people would be able to come in and just drive. Mr. Goodwin replied no, there would be 10-minute booking intervals immediately before tee-times.

C. Ellison asked if they felt that this was a better option financially. Mr. Goodwin replied yes, in the long term they thought it was a better option.

C. Geddes asked if they would turn 15 into a par 5. Mr. Goodwin replied they had talked about it in that if the redesign was successful then they would bring back hole 15 and make it into 18. Mr. Louw stated that they would have a long-term master plan for the course. C. Ellison liked that idea of a master plan. Mr. Goodwin commented it would be phased but it would all be included in a master plan. They would be looking at ways to improve the course and make it easier to maintain.

C. Geddes asked if they had retained the designer. Mr. Goodwin replied yes and that he was currently working on a master plan. This plan would solve some of the problems they had and move the golf course in a positive direction.

C. Ellsworth asked if the existing netting would still be needed. Mr. Goodwin replied yes, vandalism was a concern, so they needed the fencing and the netting. C. Geddes thanked them for thinking outside of the box.

Mr. Louw continued with the budget presentation. He discussed the water and sewer fund and general fund utility fee update. They needed to have a 25% higher revenue than their expenses every year. He discussed the water and sewer projects they had planned, and the motor pool fund highlights.

Mayor Andersen thanked Mr. Louw for his hard work on the budget.

PUBLIC HEARING

Ken Bednar stated he had purchased a season pass but he would be unable to play at this golf course if they eliminated the driving range. He said that none of the golf courses designed by Mr. Fought did not have a driving range. He commented what they were not counting on was people that played on the course every day. He said people came to the course for the driving range and that they would lose golfers if they eliminated the range. He said the real reason this was being done was to accommodate one homeowner and that they were getting themselves into legal jeopardy. They were not figuring how much revenue they were going to bring in. He wanted to come to this golf course as a serious golfer. He said it was very obvious this was being done to accommodate one person and it was the biggest mistake that the City could make. There were a lot of things they could spend \$1M to improve the course but they should not eliminate the driving range. He bought his home because it was on the golf course but if they took away the driving range, he would have to go play golf somewhere else.

Charles Loveday stated that the people who lived on the golf course were passionate about golf but most people who lived in Cedar Hills did not use the course. They were spending a tremendous amount of money on the course, and they subsidized golf and he asked if that was really what they wanted to keep doing. It was going to cost millions of dollars to upgrade the golf course for people who loved to play golf but within 5 minutes there were 2 other great golf courses. He said if you looked at the stats 250 golf courses closed in the United States and 95% of those were municipal courses. He rhetorically asked why this was happening and said they had a long-term bonded debt just to keep the course alive as well as the taxes. He said they were catering to people who loved golf to the exclusion of others.

C. Geddes encouraged everyone to go to the City website because they had a blue-ribbon golf committee which had come up with a lot of ideas and had been very thorough so if anyone had issues, they should go read it and study it and then talk.

Britney Lindsey asked if the study being referred to was the one that was done in 2012. Mr. Louw replied they had done one in 2012 and one in 2015 which was much more comprehensive. Ms. Lindsey commented on the parks and said that she could never play at Harvey Park because the equipment was so hot because it was metal. She asked if the million-dollar project would affect the taxes of the residents. Mr. Goodwin replied no, it would come from savings. He said it was a one-time expenditure with no debt, similar to how they funded Harvey Park. When they did big projects as a City, they recognized the property tax burden on the community which was why they budgeted conservatively. They base the budget on trends and when those trends

exceed their projections, they have extra money to set aside for these projects to make the community better.

MOTION: C. Ellsworth—To approve Resolution No. 06-29-2021C, a resolution adopting the 2021-2022 Fiscal Year Budget for the City of Cedar Hills, Utah. Seconded by C. Ellison.

Yes - C. Ellison
C. Ellsworth
C. Geddes
C. Miller Motion passes.

10. Review/Action on a Resolution certifying the calculated 2021-2022 Real and Personal Property Tax Levy

Mr. Louw stated each year they had to approve a property tax rate. The county gave them the certified tax rate. What this proposed was that they keep the property tax rate the same. C. Miller asked if it was calculated the same way it was for the county. Mr. Louw replied that it was all based on values in your area. The county was basing it on new growth. If they wanted more than the certified amount, they would have to raise the rate. C. Ellsworth commented that theirs had stayed low.

C. Geddes commented that the assessor would assess your house, but this was only a portion. The rest was assessed by the school district. Taxes would go up because the price of your house went up, but the City still gets the same amount. Mr. Louw commented it was also compared to other cities and that there were different taxing entities.

C. Ellsworth said his point was that they weren't changing their rate while other cities were. Mr. Louw replied that because of the growth they didn't have to change the rates.

MOTION: C. Ellsworth—To approve Resolution No. 06-29-2021D, a resolution setting the total Property Tax Levy assessed upon real and personal property for general governmental purposes for the 2021-2022 tax year for the City of Cedar Hills, Utah.

Seconded by C. Miller.

Yes - C. Ellison
C. Ellsworth
C. Geddes
C. Miller Motion passes.

11. Review/Action on a Resolution adding, amending, or deleting certain fees to the Official Fees, Bonds and Fines schedule of the City of Cedar Hills

Mr. Goodwin stated they were taking steps in the budget and showed the fee increases to support what they had just passed. He talked about the adjustments to the water sewer storm drain fund. The new rates were 3.25% which were reflected in the rates. Along with that they were proposing to adjust some fees associated with rentals of the Vista Room. They had a normal rental fee and resident rental rates, and they were proposing a \$50-\$100 increase in rates. They currently charged a resident rate of \$20/hour for a room and \$40/hour for the whole venue, the

highest demand of staff time was with resident rentals, so they were proposing to increase those rates to \$50 and \$100. There was a separate rate to book as a resident on Monday and Wednesday, which was \$450, and they were proposing to increase that to \$750. Mr. Goodwin commented they would have to pay the staff more and the cost of utilities and linens were going up, so they needed to charge more. There were also some clerical changes to the fee schedule to mirror what was on the utility bill.

C. Ellsworth said he was expecting a much higher increase. Mr. Gordon replied that at the time there was a lot of competition and a lot of new venues had opened. He thought they needed to maintain the lower end to get more bodies into the venue because recommendations from people were the best form of advertising. Mr. Goodwin commented they had worked with design professionals to help update the entire venue.

MOTION: C. Geddes—To approve Resolution No. 06-29-2021E, a resolution adding, amending, or deleting certain fees to the official fees, bonds and fines schedule of the City of Cedar Hills, Utah, with the addition of the \$750 Monday/Wednesday resident wedding rate. Seconded by C. Ellison.

Yes - C. Ellison
C. Ellsworth
C. Geddes
C. Miller Motion passes.

12. Review/Action and Public Hearing on Preliminary Plan approval for the Shiny Shell Car Wash located at 10002 North 4800 West in the SC-1 Commercial Zone

Mr. Goodwin stated the original proposal for this was to be located at the very south end of the commercial property adjacent to the homes but there was a number of concerns about the impacts that the car wash would have in terms of noise and cars going in and out. The recommendation from the Planning Commission was to use a different property immediately south of the Hart's gas station. The proposal would have everything access from the north, and it was an approved use in the area. There would need to be a development agreement between the owners of the Hart's and the Shiny Shell.

Mr. Goodwin stated one of the concerns was the decibel levels of the vacuums. He had gone and visited a different Shiny Shell and measured the decibel levels. He didn't think there would be an issue with the noise. He also discussed the parking.

Mr. Goodwin stated it would be two companies on one lot: the Shiny Shell Car Wash and Grease Monkey Lube. In reviewing this, the City code required 30% landscaping, but the Planning Commission was looking into other options within the code. He stated that there needed to be an agreement on the reimbursement of improvements on 4700 West.

Mr. Goodwin stated with it being a car wash they would need to turn water rights over to the City. One of the good things was that they reused 70% of their water. Landscaping needed to allow for a clear line of vision and the landscaping plan was subject to engineering review which was happening at that time.

C. Geddes asked if this was a service that was taxed. Mr. Goodwin replied that parts of it would be.

Mitch Morris, Shiny Shell developer, commented they would be using quick brick. They would use the most similar color they could to match the other buildings in the area. C. Ellison asked if they were coordinating with Grease Monkey. Mr. Morris replied that they would own both and would develop both.

C. Geddes asked when they would break ground. Mr. Morris replied as soon as they got the approval. C. Miller commented it was nice that this was next to Hart's. Mr. Goodwin asked if there were any thoughts on quick brick. Mr. Maag clarified that quick brick was applied to the masonry wall and so it would be a block wall on the interior of the building and not the framed wall. Mr. Morris replied that that was correct. Mr. Goodwin then reviewed the elevations.

PUBLIC HEARING

No comments.

MOTION: C. Geddes—To approve the preliminary plan for the Shiny Shell Car Wash located at 10002 North 4800 West in the SC-1 Commercial Zone, subject to the following conditions: reimbursement agreement on the improvements, verification of water rights, access agreement with Hart's gas station, landscaping submittal to be approved by zoning, and completed engineering review. Seconded by C. Ellsworth.

Yes - C. Ellison
C. Ellsworth
C. Geddes
C. Miller Motion passes.

13. Review/Action and Public Hearing on an Ordinance amending City Code Title 10 related to park strips and landscaping in residential zones

Mr. Goodwin stated they were looking at ways they could conserve more water and one of the things they had looked at was park strips. The City required park strips to have certain kinds of trees, a certain number of trees, and made sure they faced a certain way. This was an area where they could reduce water consumption. They would still require trees but would allow for more variation. They were hoping they could lead out on this as a City to identify park strips where they could conserve water.

PUBLIC HEARING

No comments

MOTION: C. Miller—To approve Ordinance No. 06-29-2021A, an ordinance amending Cedar Hills City Code Title 10-5-18 & 27, related to landscaping in park strips. Seconded by C. Ellsworth.

Yes - C. Ellison
C. Ellsworth

C. Geddes
C. Miller

Motion passes.

14. Review/Action and Public Hearing on an Ordinance amending City Code Title 10 Chapters 2, 4 and 6 related to driveways

Mr. Goodwin stated this had been a long time coming and had been bounced back and forth between the fire department and the fire marshal. They were looking for ways to have clear definitions and expectations. In section 10-2-1 they had added definitions for driveway, fire apparatus road and Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) areas. Everything east of Canyon Road was WUI. C. Geddes said he understood what it was and asked where in the code they had adopted it. Mr. Goodwin replied that they hadn't. C. Geddes asked why they were being subject to the guidelines. Mr. Goodwin replied it was because the City had entered a WUI which made them subject to WUI code. C. Geddes asked when they had done this. Mr. Goodwin replied they had done it a few years ago and the reason they did that was because if there was a fire on the hillside and they had to spend millions of dollars to fight it, WUI code kicked in and there were ways to get reimbursed when they declared an emergency. They weren't really focusing on driveways outside of the WUI area as much as driveways in the WUI area.

C. Geddes said plans that had been previously approved were now being subject to new codes and clarified those things would be grandfathered in. Mr. Goodwin said they had talked about this not applying to existing driveways. C. Geddes asked that this not apply to existing approved lots. Mr. Goodwin replied that they were already subject to WUI. C. Geddes added that the fire chief had said he would not approve anything over 12% and he didn't think that was fair.

C. Ellsworth asked if the only thing that locked them in was WUI. Mr. Goodwin replied he could come back and more fully explain WUI. C. Geddes said he was frustrated with passing laws that only affected a small percentage of people. He said the fire department bragged about their \$100K fire truck that could get anywhere. Mr. Goodwin replied it wasn't the brush truck that they were worried about, it was the ambulance. C. Geddes said he knew the driveway in question and asked if it was longer than 60 ft. C. Ellsworth commented that there were quite a few that existed.

Mr. Goodwin said he wasn't looking to go retroactively and the WUI code required no more than 12%. Beyond the 60 feet you are into a longer driveway which has different requirements. They could play with the 60 ft. number, but the 100 ft. limitation was established by WUI not the City. C. Geddes replied that that was his point, and he didn't think as a City they had ever adopted WUI requirements.

C. Ellsworth asked about code complaint steps. Mr. Goodwin replied that steps were required if emergency services couldn't safely get up the driveway for some reason. C. Ellsworth asked if WUI specified 12% as the limit. Mr. Goodwin replied yes, and less than 60 feet was not a fire apparatus road. C. Ellsworth commented that they were working around WUI. Mr. Goodwin said he was trying to listen to Council's concerns as well as the fire marshal's concerns about fire code.

C. Geddes said he wondered why they would just jump in and accept WUI standards. C. Ellsworth asked if it was possible for them to have their own standards outside of WUI. Mr. Goodwin replied yes, there was WUI code, Utah WUI code, and fire code.

C. Geddes said a few years ago they had switched to the American Fork fire department and a person had built a house on the hillside. Lone Peak fire department had given him requirements and as soon as a new fire chief came in, he said it was completely unacceptable. He didn't think they should let one person have too much of an opinion. Mr. Goodwin said he agreed but that was why they were trying to determine an established standard.

Mayor Andersen asked what if the driveway was heated and if that was something they could look at. Mr. Goodwin replied that they could. C. Geddes commented the current WUI code didn't address that. Mr. Goodwin proposed if they were at an impasse, they could table this and come back for further discussion. C. Ellsworth thought there was a handful of things that needed clarification. Mr. Goodwin said he was happy to bring this back. C. Geddes commented this was about more than just fire. C. Ellsworth thought it was more about the ambulance.

MOTION: C. Ellsworth—To table this item. Seconded by C. Ellison.

Yes - C. Ellison
C. Ellsworth
C. Geddes
C. Miller Motion passes.

15. Review/Action and Public Hearing on Final Plat approval for Lakeshore Trails Subdivision Plat D

Mr. Goodwin said this was very straightforward. It was taking two lots and turning them into one. It wasn't adding any density. C. Geddes stated he would be recusing himself from voting on this item because he was the developer of the subdivision.

PUBLIC HEARING

No comments

MOTION: C. Ellsworth—To approve Lakeshore Trails Subdivision Plat D. Seconded by C. Miller.

Yes - C. Ellison
C. Ellsworth
C. Miller Motion passes.

16. Review/Action on an Ordinance amending City Code Title 2 Chapter 3 related to the Youth City Council Advisory Committee

Mr. Goodwin said this addressed how members and advisors were appointed to the Youth City Council (YCC). The expectation of the YCC was to run similar to the City Council. They had a budget, so they were subject to the same open public meeting laws as Council. The minimum

requirement for a YCC member was that they have a pulse and be between 14-18 years old. He was proposing they maintain a certain grade point average and add language about how an advisor was appointed and that they would serve for two years. The YCC acted as the liaison between the City and the community, and he wanted to emphasize item F, that the YCC take direction from the City Council. All agendas must be noticed in advanced because they had a budget and they must be held to that standard.

Mayor Andersen asked if GPA should be added to the ordinance. C. Geddes recommended 2.0. Mayor Andersen replied that 2.0 would be acceptable. C. Ellison and C. Smith would be the advisors of the YCC. Mr. Gordon said he would love to partner with the YCC.

Mr. Goodwin stated somehow the Parks and Trails Committee had become in charge of the City breakfast and the Santa night. He would like to work with the fire department on having them take over the breakfast and have the YCC take over Santa night.

C. Ellison understood that being on the YCC had potential for scholarships and it was a big deal, she agreed with 2.0. C. Ellsworth commented that the higher the GPA the more likely they were to get involved.

MOTION: C. Ellsworth—To approve Ordinance No. 06-29-2021B, an ordinance amending Cedar Hills City Code Title 2 Chapter 3 pertaining to the Youth City Council Advisory Committee, subject to adding a GPA requirement of 2.0. Seconded by C. Ellison.

Yes - C. Ellison
C. Ellsworth
C. Geddes
C. Miller Motion passes.

17. Review/Action on acceptance of the Internal Audit Reports

Mr. Goodwin stated that as part of the state auditor requirements the City was required to do internal audits. This was a new challenge for them to tackle, and they would do audits in each department. Jada Walton, Administrative Analyst, conducted two audits, one was a daily cash reconciliation audit for the passport area and front desk. City policies require that each cash drawer be reconciled at the close of each day. The audit found there were a number of days the reconciliation did not occur. Based on this finding staff has reemphasized the importance of daily reconciliation. The second one was on GRAMA requests. The state had certain criteria such as a timeframe for responding, what information is protected, and how the appeal process works. They looked at 5 years' worth of data and found the City is in compliance with State Code and no improvement recommendations are being made at this time.

MOTION: C. Geddes—To accept the Internal Audit Reports. Seconded by C. Miller.

Yes - C. Ellison
C. Ellsworth
C. Geddes
C. Miller Motion passes.

18. Discussion on Water Conservation

Mr. Goodwin said that they had been tasked with reducing water usage. They had come up with a plan to get through the watering season knowing that they had limited reserves. They didn't use PI water until May 1 and they would shut the system off on October 1. The City, including the golf course and parks, had been tasked to reduce water usage by 20%. The year before had been one of the highest water usage years ever. So far in 2021 they had seen a very average year. As a City they were working to reduce water usage and he wanted to commend the golf staff for understanding the restrictions. They had had more ditch water than expected due to the Mill ditch pipe project which allowed them to access American Fork irrigation shares. They typically had 710 ft. of CUP water but this year they only had 550 so they were trying to delay CUP water usage. He believed they could keep watering throughout the year.

Mayor Andersen asked how they should go about getting the message out. Mr. Goodwin replied in 2016 they had instituted water restrictions and when they had done that the usage went higher. Weather was the biggest factor in usage and they needed to dive into the behavior behind that.

C. Ellsworth commented that one of the biggest moves they had done was that evening with the park strips amendments and getting that message out was important. Mr. Goodwin added that there were also water conservation resources available through the Central Utah Water District.

Mr. Goodwin discussed weather stations. The golf course had one and used it to water more efficiently. They had talked about the City investing in a weather station for the general population in order to promote local information to improve conservation.

ADJOURNMENT

This meeting was adjourned 9:54 p.m. on a motion by C. Geddes, seconded by C. Miller, and unanimously approved.

Approved by Council:
August 24, 2021

/s/ Colleen A. Mulvey, MMC
City Recorder